

REMARKS

Continued allowance of Claims 1-17 of the instant application is respectfully requested.

In the outstanding Office Action, the drawings have been objected since they do not include reference numeral 15 which is mentioned throughout the specification as trench 15. In response to this objection, applicants include herein a Replacement Sheet (labeled as drawing sheet 2/3) including FIGS. 3 and 4 in which the reference numeral 15 was added to FIG. 3. Applicants respectfully submit that the Replacement Sheet including a change to FIG. 3 obviates the drawing objection and, as such, applicants hereby request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the same.

In addition to the drawing objection, the Examiner also notes a typographical error that appears in paragraph 0026, which applicants have corrected by the amendment made to paragraph 0026 herein above. Since applicants have corrected the typographical error, the objection to the specification can and should be withdrawn.

Applicants have also cancelled the non-elected claims, i.e., Claims 18-20, in this response, as requested by the Examiner.

Thus, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is firmly believed that the present case is in condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Leslie S. Szivos, Ph.D.
Registration No. 39,394

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C.
400 Garden City Plaza, Suite 300
Garden City, New York 11530
(516) 742-4343
LSS:vh